

APPENDIX P

Deposition of Robert LaBrant - 8/10/2018
League of Women Voters of Michigan Et Al. v. Ruth Johnson

<p style="text-align: center;">Page 26</p> <p>1 MR. GORDON: That's correct.</p> <p>2 MR. YEAGER: Okay. Give me one moment. This is 3 going to be an exhibit. It has a cover sheet, Exhibit A, 4 because it was filed somewhere. Mark this as LaBrant 1.</p> <p>5 MR. GORDON: I thought you were going to use 6 Bates numbers.</p> <p>7 MR. YEAGER: Right. This one doesn't have Bates 8 numbers.</p> <p>9 (At 9:09 a.m., Deposition Exhibit Number 1 and 10 Number 2 were marked.)</p> <p>11 MR. YEAGER: Let's mark this as LaBrant 2.</p> <p>12 BY MR. YEAGER:</p> <p>13 Q. So, Mr. LaBrant, I'm gonna put in front of you two 14 documents marked as Exhibit 1 and Exhibit 2, and I know 15 it's a little confusing because the document itself has a 16 boldface Exhibit A. You can ignore that for now.</p> <p>17 A. Okay.</p> <p>18 Q. When I refer to Exhibits 1 and 2, I'm referring to the 19 stickers that the reporter has just put on.</p> <p>20 Exhibit 1, LaBrant 1, do you recognize that 21 document?</p> <p>22 A. Yes, I do.</p> <p>23 Q. And what is that, sir?</p> <p>24 A. It's a subpoena.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. It's a subpoena to you?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 28</p> <p>1 might have had on that computer stayed on that computer.</p> <p>2 I didn't take any with me. So that's -- the Chamber has</p> <p>3 and I.T. person that basically, you know, kinda gets rid</p> <p>4 of things periodically, I think once every, you know,</p> <p>5 certain number of years.</p> <p>6 Q. And so the understanding you got back from Mr. Holcomb, 7 either directly or through Mr. Gordon, was that that 8 computer no longer was in the possession of the Chamber?</p> <p>9 A. That's correct.</p> <p>10 Q. Okay. And at the time that you were there and using the 11 computer that you described, was that computer networked 12 within the Chamber to other computers?</p> <p>13 A. I believe it was.</p> <p>14 Q. Do you know whether there was a server that the Chamber 15 had that served that network?</p> <p>16 A. I -- I was not the I.T. person, but I'm sure that there 17 was a server that did that function.</p> <p>18 Q. And do you know anything about where that server is today?</p> <p>19 A. I have no idea.</p> <p>20 Q. You didn't discuss that with Mr. Holcomb directly?</p> <p>21 A. I think he indicated that they did a search, because they 22 were also under some similar subpoena, and they did a 23 search of all of their computer system and it was no 24 longer there.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And as you talk about this, do you remember whether</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 27</p> <p>1 A. That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q. Now, this particular copy I see doesn't have the attached 3 list, so we'll stipulate that it doesn't have the list.</p> <p>4 When this exhibit was served -- when this 5 subpoena was served on you, it had a list of documents 6 attached?</p> <p>7 A. Uh-huh.</p> <p>8 Q. And tell me what you did in response to that subpoena.</p> <p>9 A. I contacted Gary and we discussed the matter. I had a 10 conversation about where the computer in the Michigan 11 Chamber of Commerce might be and I was told that that 12 computer was no longer in the possession of the Michigan 13 Chamber of Commerce.</p> <p>14 Q. And was that a conversation that you had with Mr. Gordon 15 about the location of that computer, where you learned 16 that, or did you talk to somebody at the Chamber?</p> <p>17 A. I think either I had a conversation with Jim Holcomb, or 18 Gary had a conversation with Jim Holcomb. I can't recall.</p> <p>19 Q. And can you tell us who Jim Holcomb is?</p> <p>20 A. He's the Vice President at the Michigan Chamber of 21 Commerce.</p> <p>22 Q. And what computer are you referring to?</p> <p>23 A. When I was at the Michigan Chamber of Commerce, I had a 24 desktop computer, and when I left the Chamber in March of 25 2012, I just left it and so whatever, you know, emails I</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 29</p> <p>1 that was a conversation that you had yourself with 2 Mr. Holcomb or whether you learned this through an 3 intermediary?</p> <p>4 A. I don't remember.</p> <p>5 Q. So you've mentioned a subpoena that the Chamber got. 6 Would you look at Exhibit 2, LaBrant 2?</p> <p>7 A. Oh, okay.</p> <p>8 Q. Have you ever seen that before?</p> <p>9 A. No.</p> <p>10 Q. You were not at the Chamber at the time?</p> <p>11 A. No, I wasn't.</p> <p>12 Q. Did you have any documents yourself that were responsive 13 to the subpoena?</p> <p>14 A. No. I think over the last six-and-a-half years since I 15 left the Michigan Chamber, things that related to 16 redistricting I think got tossed. I mean we'd already 17 gone through three different election cycles since that 18 time. And --</p> <p>19 Q. Did you have that?</p> <p>20 When you left the Chamber, did you take 21 documents, either electronically or physically, with you?</p> <p>22 A. No. I took a lot of books.</p> <p>23 MR. YEAGER: Call that Number 3.</p> <p>24 (At 9:16 a.m., Deposition Exhibit Number 3 was 25 marked.)</p>

Deposition of Robert LaBrant - 8/10/2018
League of Women Voters of Michigan Et Al. v. Ruth Johnson

Page 138	Page 140
<p>1 Q. Did you ever have any discussion with Mr. Timmer about 2 pairing Republicans rather than the two Democrats that 3 were paired?</p> <p>4 A. I don't recall a conversation.</p> <p>5 Q. It wouldn't be realistic to expect that to happen, given 6 Republican control of the process; isn't that fair to say?</p> <p>7 A. You still need 56 votes in the House and 20 votes in the Senate to pass a bill.</p> <p>9 Q. Okay. What do you mean by that?</p> <p>10 A. Well, I mean, you know --</p> <p>11 Q. It takes Republicans to pass it because Republicans 12 controlled the legislature in the Governor's Office; 13 right?</p> <p>14 A. That's correct.</p> <p>15 Q. It wouldn't be realistic to think, under those 16 circumstances, that the Republican, the Republican 17 Congress people would be paired rather than the two 18 Democrats; is that fair to say?</p> <p>19 A. Oh, I, I don't disagree.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay.</p> <p>21 (At 1:27 p.m., Deposition Exhibit Number Timmer 22 415 was marked.)</p> <p>23 BY MR. YEAGER:</p> <p>24 Q. So, Mr. LaBrant, we just handed you what's marked as 25 Exhibit Timmer 415, which is a several-page memorandum</p>	<p>1 A. They wanted to do everything, I think, you know. 2 Q. So are you, are you telling me that the scope of work -- 3 A. The scope of the proposal was narrowly compressed. 4 Q. From what we see here in Exhibit 415? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Okay. And your recollection is that the scope ended up 7 being drawing the maps -- I'm sorry -- drawing the map and 8 this would be the congressional map? 9 A. That was the scope of Jeff Timmer's activity was primarily 10 to draw the congressional map. 11 Q. Okay. Were there others at Sterling that did other 12 things? 13 A. Linder was involved in some fundraising activities. 14 Q. Okay. And why were, why were -- why was Sterling, I'll 15 say, making a proposal to Bob LaBrant rather than a 16 proposal to Republican caucus leaders or Republican 17 staffers or other entities? 18 A. I don't know. Probably because they had a relationship 19 with me. 20 Q. Did you understand this proposal to be made to you in your 21 role as President of MRRI? 22 A. Yes. 23 Q. Okay. So it was MRRI that handled -- that hired Sterling? 24 A. That was the -- yeah, MRRI, you know, hired Sterling for 25 some fundraising activities and also for some</p>
<p style="text-align: center;">Page 139</p> <p>1 identified as coming from Jeff Timmer and Steve Linder to 2 you, December 22nd, 2010. 3 I'll just ask you, as a preliminary matter, 4 whether you remember this document?</p> <p>5 A. I remember he had some ridiculous price that he wanted to 6 charge me.</p> <p>7 Q. This is his proposal, Mr. Timmer's proposal to be hired?</p> <p>8 A. That's correct.</p> <p>9 Q. Where's the ridiculous price?</p> <p>10 A. Oh, back on the last page, I think it was.</p> <p>11 Q. So it was -- did you end up negotiating that price?</p> <p>12 A. I'm sure we did. I didn't pay that kinda money.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. So his fees would be a matter of negotiation at the 14 outset?</p> <p>15 A. Yes.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay.</p> <p>17 A. Yes.</p> <p>18 Q. Okay. Do you recall whether this was the proposal that 19 was specifically accepted or whether there was another 20 version or a contract entered into?</p> <p>21 A. There was another version. I mean I think they wanted to 22 do a heck of a lot more than I wanted them to do.</p> <p>23 Q. What did they want to do that you didn't want them to do?</p> <p>24 A. I don't know. But all I wanted them to do was draw a map.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay. And what did they want to do?</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">Page 141</p> <p>1 redistricting activities as it related to the 2 congressional map. 3 Q. Right. And that was what you described a moment ago, map 4 drawing? 5 A. Yes. 6 Q. Was Sterling hired but for anything else, that you're 7 aware of? 8 A. Not beyond those two activities that I just talked about. 9 Q. Were they hired to give legal advice? 10 A. No. 11 Q. Okay. 12 A. Then we could hit them with practicing law without a 13 license. 14 Q. Oh, they're not lawyers. 15 And you'd already hired laws, right, to get the 16 legal advice? 17 A. Uh-huh. 18 Q. Okay. Now, at the first paragraph of Mr. Timmer and 19 Mr. Linder's memorandum of December 22nd, 2010 -- 20 A. Which page are we talking about, sir? 21 Q. It's the first paragraph on Exhibit -- I'm sorry. Page 1, 22 the first page. 23 A. Yeah. 24 Q. They say, in the second sentence, "The table is set in similar fashion to the last decade", and it goes on from</p>

Deposition of Robert LaBrant - 8/10/2018
League of Women Voters of Michigan Et Al. v. Ruth Johnson

Page 154	Page 156
1 or whole cities or whole townships and just basically 2 doing, you know, census geography, put together a district 3 that didn't conform with political subdivisions, I mean we 4 weren't interested.	1 just described could nonetheless -- regardless of whether 2 it's constitutional or not, we can argue about that -- 3 could nonetheless increase, materially, the vote share 4 that the Republicans would get for a particular seat 5 chair -- I'm sorry, let me start over -- would increase 6 the seat chair that the Republicans would get for a 7 particular vote share?
5 Q. Did you ever see this 10/4 map?	8 MR. ELLSWORTH: I'm sorry. You said the map.
6 A. I don't remember. I think I just heard that they had a 7 10/4 map.	9 What is that map?
8 Q. So you don't know how compact the districts were or were 9 not on that map?	10 MR. YEAGER: Well, the map he was referring to, 11 but let's start --
10 A. To tell you the truth, I don't recall.	12 MR. ELLSWORTH: The 10/4 map?
11 Q. Okay. Do you agree that one could have a gerrymander, a 12 partisan gerrymander of a map without obviously noncompact 13 districts?	13 THE WITNESS: The 10/4 map?
14 A. I think Sandra Day O'Connor would say that if it was a 15 strange-looking district, that was kind of an obvious 16 gerrymander.	14 MR. YEAGER: No, no. No, no. Let's start over.
17 Q. Right. I'm asking the next question --	15 BY MR. YEAGER:
18 A. Okay.	16 Q. This is a hypothetical map.
19 Q. -- which is if you don't have that obvious district and 20 you have districts that are, in some sense, sort of 21 visibly more or less compact --	17 A. Okay, a hypothetical map.
22 A. I think the question is, you know, if you employ 23 traditional redistricting criteria, okay, that basically 24 uses counties and cities and townships, you know, is that, 25 you know, is that basically a gerrymander even though it	18 Q. And we were talking about -- you used the term here 19 obvious gerrymandering, and I'm trying to ask about that a 20 little bit.
	21 A. Okay.
	22 Q. I thought I understood you to say, in referring to a map 23 that did not look like an obvious gerrymander, I thought I 24 understood you to say that you meant a map you could look 25 at and see districts that looked like they're
Page 155	Page 157
1 basically adheres to traditional redistricting criteria. 2 And that's what basically I think the, the, the 3 redistricting litigation of 2015 through '18 was all 4 about, and, and frankly we have no answer to that 5 question.	1 gerrymandered because they were irregular. 2 Is that what you meant?
6 Q. Let me ask a better question.	3 A. Well, in this particular paragraph, I said, you know, if 4 you got an obviously-looking gerrymandered plan, okay, 5 that's, you know, that's, that's subject to getting tossed 6 by the court. If it's, if it's not compact, it violates, 7 you know, traditional redistricting criteria. That's, 8 that's an obvious gerrymander that frankly is susceptible 9 to, to being challenged.
7 A. Okay.	10 Q. You said something a moment ago about you thought a 11 particular kind of map was not unconstitutional. Let me 12 ask you about that.
8 Q. I mean better, more, more understandable, I hope.	13 What is your understanding of what the 14 constitutional limits are on, on map drawing for partisan 15 advantage?
9 Do you agree or disagree that even absent	16 MR. ELLSWORTH: I'm going to object because I 17 think that calls for a legal conclusion. He is a lawyer, 18 but I don't know, I don't know that he's ever looked at 19 that kind of question. But --
10 drawing visibly noncompact districts, one could still draw	20 BY MR. YEAGER:
11 a map that increases the seat share the Republican party	21 Q. You can answer. And I should add I don't mean to presume 22 that you have an opinion on that. I guess I did. If you 23 just don't have an opinion on that, you can say that as 24 well.
12 would get for the same vote share?	25 A. Well, I don't have an opinion on that.
13 A. The question again was?	
14 Q. Do you agree or disagree that even absent using visibly	
15 noncompact, gerrymandered-looking districts, one could	
16 still draw maps, complying with Apol criteria, that would	
17 materially increase the number of seats that the	
18 Republican party would get let's say in Michigan for the	
19 same number of votes?	
20 A. I don't think a map that adhered to traditional	
21 redistricting criteria and maintained political	
22 subdivision boundary lines is unconstitutional.	
23 Q. That wasn't my question.	
24 A. Okay.	
25 Q. My question was do you agree or disagree that the map you	

Deposition of Robert LaBrant, Vol.II - 8/20/2018
League of Women Voters of Michigan, et al. v. Ruth Johnson, the Secretary of the State of Michigan

Page 211	Page 213
<p>1 A. That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q. So Amash didn't like --</p> <p>3 A. Well, I mean, he expressed some dissatisfaction with it. But</p> <p>4 he didn't go crazy.</p> <p>5 Q. Okay. So let's make sure the record is clear because I had</p> <p>6 misunderstood what you were trying to tell me.</p> <p>7 So Representative Amash who was the incumbent in</p> <p>8 the 3rd district towards the end of the process expressed</p> <p>9 some unhappiness with that configuration of the 3rd district?</p> <p>10 A. That's my understanding.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay.</p> <p>12 A. I mean I had no conversation with him, but I think --</p> <p>13 Q. So when the -- when you look at the parts of the 2nd -- I'm</p> <p>14 sorry. When you look at the parts of Kent County that are</p> <p>15 moved into the 2nd district, if those were Republican areas</p> <p>16 that were taken out of Kent County and put in the 2nd</p> <p>17 district instead of the third, that might be a reason that</p> <p>18 representative Amash would be unhappy?</p> <p>19 A. Could be.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Do you recall any reason --</p> <p>21 A. I don't recall.</p> <p>22 Q. Anything else you remember about the drawing in the 3rd</p> <p>23 district?</p> <p>24 A. That's about it.</p> <p>25 Q. Okay.</p>	<p>1 Q. Okay. Fourth district, do you remember anything about the</p> <p>2 4th district?</p> <p>3 A. This is a district, the incumbent in this district was Dave</p> <p>4 Camp. And I also happen to live in Shiawassee county. So I</p> <p>5 was enthusiastic about having Dave Camp as my congressman</p> <p>6 since he was, I think either the -- he might have been the</p> <p>7 ranking chairman -- the ranking member on the Ways and Means.</p> <p>8 Not looking for a tax break, but --</p> <p>9 Q. Was he your congressman under the old map?</p> <p>10 A. No. Mike Rogers was my congressman under the old map.</p> <p>11 Q. So --</p> <p>12 A. So there was a split in Shiawassee county which would have</p> <p>13 been a needless split. And as a result, according to the</p> <p>14 congressional redistricting guidelines legislation, this was</p> <p>15 all wholly-contained counties, except for a portion of</p> <p>16 Montcalm (indicating), and around Saginaw (indicating),</p> <p>17 Saginaw County.</p> <p>18 Q. Now the little, I'm going to a finger but it has a crook on</p> <p>19 it here on the east end that goes up to Frankenmuth, how did</p> <p>20 that come about?</p> <p>21 A. Well we knew that there was always going to be a break in</p> <p>22 Saginaw, population wise. I think you have an e-mail that</p> <p>23 Mr. Timmer indicated that we could give Dave Camp</p> <p>24 Frankenmuth.</p> <p>25 Q. And why would that --</p>
Page 212	Page 214
<p>1 A. I mean other than the fact that Calhoun was added to this</p> <p>2 configuration (indicating).</p> <p>3 Q. And what's the partisanship of Calhoun County? It looks like</p> <p>4 Battle Creek is part of that county?</p> <p>5 A. I think historically Calhoun County is obviously Battle Creek</p> <p>6 and Albion, are kind of Democratic areas. Marshall has</p> <p>7 traditionally been a Republican area. But Battle Creek is</p> <p>8 the population base of the county.</p> <p>9 Q. Do you recall Mr. Amash having any comment about Battle Creek</p> <p>10 and/or the other parts of the Calhoun County being put into</p> <p>11 his district?</p> <p>12 A. I'm sure that that was one reason that he wasn't enthusiastic</p> <p>13 about this configuration.</p> <p>14 Q. And what do you recall about him -- we have some documents on</p> <p>15 this, what do you recall about the partisanship, the baseline</p> <p>16 partisanship of the 3rd district as it was drawn, about</p> <p>17 what --</p> <p>18 A. As this (indicating).</p> <p>19 Q. Yes, sir, about what percentage, do you remember?</p> <p>20 A. It was definitely a very Republican district, maybe not as</p> <p>21 Republican as the second, but still a very Republican</p> <p>22 district.</p> <p>23 Q. And would it be your view that Mr. Amash did have some</p> <p>24 comfort that he would be able to be okay in that district?</p> <p>25 A. Yes.</p>	<p>1 A. Just because I think he'd like -- it was a nice touristy kind</p> <p>2 of community. I don't know, Camp probably wasn't German.</p> <p>3 MR. YEAGER: Off the record.</p> <p>4 (At 6:02 p.m. went off the record.)</p> <p>5 (At 6:02 p.m. went on the record.)</p> <p>6 MR. YEAGER: Okay, let's go back on.</p> <p>7 BY MR. YEAGER:</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. So you gave Mr. Camp Frankenmuth. Is it a Republican</p> <p>9 area or Democratic area?</p> <p>10 A. I think Frankenmuth is probably Republican.</p> <p>11 Q. Okay. And then how were the lines drawn around Saginaw in</p> <p>12 the manner they were drawn, what do you remember about that?</p> <p>13 And I do mean Saginaw the city.</p> <p>14 A. Frankly I don't recall. Timmer was the guy who was sitting</p> <p>15 behind the computer.</p> <p>16 Q. Okay. So the partisanship of the 4th district is fairly</p> <p>17 Republican?</p> <p>18 A. It's traditionally been Republican for, except for a very</p> <p>19 short period of time when Don Albosta was a member of</p> <p>20 Congress representing a significant portion of the 4th</p> <p>21 district.</p> <p>22 Q. I didn't ask a very clear question. This 4th district, the</p> <p>23 2011 4th district, would you say that is a baseline</p> <p>24 Republican county -- district?</p> <p>25 A. Yeah. I think it's probably a baseline Republican district.</p>

Deposition of Robert LaBrant, Vol.II - 8/20/2018
League of Women Voters of Michigan, et al. v. Ruth Johnson, the Secretary of the State of Michigan

Page 215	Page 217
<p>1 Q. Do you have any idea about what the baseline would be?</p> <p>2 A. I have no idea.</p> <p>3 Q. We'd just have to look to the numbers to see that?</p> <p>4 A. Yeah.</p> <p>5 Q. Fifth district, what do you remember about that? We talked</p> <p>6 about it a little bit from the other side, do you remember</p> <p>7 anything about the drawing of the 5th district?</p> <p>8 A. Well one of the things we were able to accomplish by not</p> <p>9 splitting Shiawassee county was putting Iosco and Arenac in</p> <p>10 the 5th. And again no county split in Shiawassee, and</p> <p>11 these were wholly-contained counties, Iosco and Arenac in the</p> <p>12 5th.</p> <p>13 Q. Where had they been before?</p> <p>14 A. I believe that this would have been in the 4th district.</p> <p>15 Q. Iosco?</p> <p>16 A. The Iosco, Arenac.</p> <p>17 Or in previous redistricting this area might have</p> <p>18 been encompassed in the 1st district, when it was represented</p> <p>19 by Bart Stupak.</p> <p>20 Q. And what's the partisanship, the baseline partisanship of the</p> <p>21 5th district, as drawn, the 2011 district?</p> <p>22 A. Which year are you talking about?</p> <p>23 Q. I'm sorry, the district we're looking at, the 5th district in</p> <p>24 the 2011 map, what kind of partisanship did that district</p> <p>25 have?</p>	<p>1 might win it. But I suspect as long as Fred Upton decides to</p> <p>2 run, he would be very difficult to defeat.</p> <p>3 Q. And that little county line break there between Ottawa and</p> <p>4 Allegan, you said that was just done to zero out the</p> <p>5 population?</p> <p>6 A. Pretty much, I think. Or maybe this is a wholly-contained</p> <p>7 city (indicating), that accomplishes that end. I'm not sure.</p> <p>8 Q. Do you recall anything else about -- I'm sorry, I didn't mean</p> <p>9 to interrupt you.</p> <p>10 A. I don't know. I suspect you can get a little more detail on</p> <p>11 that if you talk to Mr. Timmer.</p> <p>12 Q. Okay. Do you remember anything else about why the 6th was</p> <p>13 drawn the way it is?</p> <p>14 A. Because it looks pretty nice.</p> <p>15 Q. You mean compact or --</p> <p>16 A. Yeah.</p> <p>17 Q. Okay. What about the 7th district, what do you remember</p> <p>18 about the 7th district?</p> <p>19 A. The 7th district is a district that kind of went back and</p> <p>20 forth between Tim Walberg and Mark Schauer during that</p> <p>21 decade. Joe Schwarz represented that district for one term,</p> <p>22 and for also one term Nick Smith represented that district.</p> <p>23 Q. And where did Mr. Schauer live, if you know?</p> <p>24 A. He lived in Calhoun.</p> <p>25 Q. So he was taken out of that district?</p>
Page 216	Page 218
<p>1 A. Well because you have it wholly contained in this district,</p> <p>2 Genesee County, it's quite -- it's Democratic. It has the</p> <p>3 City of Saginaw, Flint, Bay City.</p> <p>4 Q. Those are three Democratic cities generally?</p> <p>5 A. Yes.</p> <p>6 Q. Okay.</p> <p>7 A. And historically I think those communities have generally</p> <p>8 comprised -- have been included in a particular congressional</p> <p>9 district.</p> <p>10 Q. And do you remember anything else about why that district was</p> <p>11 drawn the way it's drawn in 2011?</p> <p>12 A. I don't recall anything beyond that.</p> <p>13 Q. Okay. How about the 6th district, what do you remember about</p> <p>14 the way the 6th district was drawn?</p> <p>15 A. With the exception of this little tiny portion of Allegan</p> <p>16 County that goes into Ottawa, (indicating), which was done in</p> <p>17 large measure to zero out the plan, you know,</p> <p>18 wholly-contained counties, you know, comprised the 6th. This</p> <p>19 is a district that Fred Upton was the incumbent going in, and</p> <p>20 he continues to represent the 6th district.</p> <p>21 Q. And how would you describe the baseline partisanship of the</p> <p>22 6th district under this plan?</p> <p>23 A. Well it's a district that has, Kalamazoo and Benton Harbor is</p> <p>24 contained in this district. It's, you know, it's a district</p> <p>25 that I think Democrats sometimes have some illusion they</p>	<p>1 A. That's correct.</p> <p>2 Q. And put over into the 3rd?</p> <p>3 A. Yes.</p> <p>4 Q. And was Mr. Walberg involved in that decision?</p> <p>5 A. I never had a conversation with him about it.</p> <p>6 Q. He didn't complain about it, did he?</p> <p>7 A. I don't think so.</p> <p>8 Q. Okay. What would you say the partisanship of the 7th</p> <p>9 district is as drawn on this map?</p> <p>10 A. I don't know, it's -- I think it's one of the more marginal</p> <p>11 districts in the state. I mean, you know, when Calhoun went</p> <p>12 up here (indicating), Monroe, which had been represented by</p> <p>13 John Dingell, was put into the 7th district.</p> <p>14 Q. Is Monroe county itself more Democrat or more Republican?</p> <p>15 A. I think it's more Democrat, at least all of the county</p> <p>16 officials.</p> <p>17 Q. Is it a big county by population?</p> <p>18 A. It's a decent-size county. I don't know what the exact</p> <p>19 population is.</p> <p>20 Q. Okay. Besides moving Calhoun out of that district and adding</p> <p>21 Monroe, do you recall anything else about why that district</p> <p>22 was drawn the way it was?</p> <p>23 A. Well a lot of these districts, particularly in southeastern</p> <p>24 Michigan, had to kind of shrink. And --</p> <p>25 Q. Why would it have to shrink?</p>